



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

14

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,107	11/03/2003	Steven P. Schwendeman	22727/04196	5145
24024	7590	10/06/2004	EXAMINER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1615		

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/700,107	SCHWENDEMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Blessing M. Fubara	1615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/07/04.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of preliminary amendment and remarks filed 11/03/03 and IDS filed 04/07/04. Claims 1-7 are pending.

Priority

Examiner acknowledges the filing of this application as a continuation of application no. 09/738,961.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cleland et al. (US 5,643,605).

Cleland discloses compositions and methods for encapsulating adjuvants or biologically active agents in microsphere compositions (abstract, column 1, lines 8-10 and column 3, lines 1-9). The composition comprises PLGA microspheres encapsulating adjuvants (column 3, lines 18-20). The ratio of lactide to glycolide is from 100:0 to 0-100 weight percent (column 3, lines 22-23 and claim 1). The microspheres have a median diameter of 20-100 μ m (column 3, lines 25-27); adjuvants, which are biologically active agents, are released in a triphasic pattern (column 3, lines 44-52); and the viscosity of the PLGA polymers is 0.1 to 1.2 dL/g (column 3, lines 40 and 58). Cleland further teaches a method for encapsulating the adjuvants in the PLGA microspheres. The method comprises dissolving PLGA in an organic solvent to produce a

solution, adding adjuvant to the solution to produce PLGA-adjuvant mixture, adding the mixture to an emulsification bath to produce microspheres comprising second emulsion and hardening the microspheres to produce hardened microspheres comprising encapsulated adjuvants (column 3, line 64 to column 4 line 8). The formulation further comprises carriers and the carriers used in the prior art are those described in Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, 16th edition, 1980, (column 7, lines 4-10). Specifically, Cleland teaches that the formulation comprises preservatives, buffer or buffers, polyethylene glycol, mannitol and poloxamer non-ionic surfactant (column 9, lines 24-34). The pH of the formulation ranges from about 5-8 (column 9, lines 35-40). Cleland discloses proteins as adjuvants (column 9, lines 59-67). Cleland teaches that various molecular weights and lactide to glycolide ratios of PLGA used. The molecular weight of the PLGA ranges from 12 kDa to 100 kDa and that ratio of lactide to glycolide ranges from 50:50 to 75:25 (column 10, lines 2-5).

The polyethylene glycols and the poloxamer surfactants of the prior art are referenced as known and available on the market and therefore, have known molecular weights. But since the molecular weights recited in the application do not have units, a comparison of molecular weights cannot be made. It is thus assumed that the molecular weight of polyethylene glycols and poloxamer of the prior art is comparable to the claimed molecular weights. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Cleland. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the PLGA composition of Cleland according to the method taught in Cleland. Although Cleland is silent on the molecular weight of the polyethylene glycols and poloxamer, one having ordinary skill in the art would know to use polyethylene glycol and poloxamer of specified

molecular since the polyethylene glycol and poloxamer are known and marketed (column 9, lines 25-30). In the absence of a showing the recited molecular weights of polyethylene glycol and poloxamer are not critical over the prior art.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,743,446. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the examined claims encompass the issued claims.

5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blessing Fubara
Patent Examiner
Tech. Center 1600

